

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/398,126	09/16/1999	TAKAHIRO SASAKI	2803-63294	9667
75	90 10/08/2002			
PATRICK G BURNS ESQ			EXAMINER	
GREER BURNS & CRAIN LTD 233 S WACKER DRIVE			DUDEK, JAMES ANDRE	
SUITE 8660 CHICAGO, IL	60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CITICAGO, IL	00000		2871	

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>			KK
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•		09/398,126	SASAKI ET AL.	
Office Action	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		James A. Dudek	2871	
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	Idress
A SHORTENED STATUTHE MAILING DATE OF Extensions of time may be avairafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the If the period for reply specified a If NO period for reply is specified. Failure to reply within the set or	THIS COMMUNICATI lable under the provisions of 37 C mailing date of this communication above is less than thirty (30) days, d above, the maximum statutory pextended period for reply will, by later than three months after the	FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered time ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on	1 <u></u> . ·		
2a) This action is FIN	IAL. 2b)	This action is non-final.		
		illowance except for formal m nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 (ne ments is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/a	re pending in the applic	cation.		•
4a) Of the above o	laim(s) <u>3,<i>5-7,11 and 14</i></u>	-32 is/are withdrawn from co	nsideration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-10</u> is/a	re allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,33</u>	and 34 is/are rejected.	·		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12,13,35</u>	and 36 is/are objected	to.		
	e subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is	objected to by the Exa	miner.		
		accepted or b)☐ objected to by		
		to the drawing(s) be held in about	*	
		is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐	disapproved by the Examin	er.
	• .	in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declars	•	e Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§			0.440(.)(1) (0	
		oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	5. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some	•	and the same because of the same		
<u></u>	•	ments have been received.	A college to a No.	
		ments have been received in		
applicati	on from the Internationa	priority documents have bee al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) a list of the certified copies no).	Stage
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is	made of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).
		e provisional application has mestic priority under 35 U.S.		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (I 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pate 3) Information Disclosure State	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948	3) 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT	



Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-2, 4 and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by the Yamada et al. US patent No. 6,067,141 (Yamada).

Per claims 1-2 and 4, Yamada discloses a pair of substrates (60 and 50) having electrodes (ITO layer 63 and 53) and vertical alignment layers (61 and 52); a liquid crystal having a negative anisotropy of dielectric constant and inserted said pair of substrates (see column 12); at least one of said substrates having means for forming at least one boundary of alignment of liquid crystal domains at fixed positions (51, the means described in the specification is point structures)

Per claim 2, see figure 5B.

Per claim 4, as the point alignments are contacting both substrates, the limitations of claim 4 are met.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-10 are allowed



Art Unit: 2871

Claims 12-13 and 35-36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: "the alignment control structures of at least one of substrates having means for forming a boundary of alignment of first type in which liquid crystal molecules around a point are directed to said point, and means for forming a boundary of alignment of second type in which a portion of liquid crystal molecules around a point are directed to said point and the other part of the liquid crystal molecules around said point are directed point are opposite to point" is understood to mean that the control structure further comprises two different means. The first being the means for forming a boundary of alignment of first type in which liquid crystal molecules around a point are directed to said point and the second being a means for forming a boundary of alignment of second type in which a potion of liquid crystal molecules around a point are directed to said point and the other part of the liquid crystal molecules around said point are directed point are opposite to point. This give rise to three elements: the control structure, the first means and the second means.

According to this understanding, the prior art of record does not teach nor suggest "the alignment control structures of at least one of substrates having means for forming a boundary of alignment of first type in which liquid crystal molecules around a point are directed to said point, and means for forming a boundary of alignment of second type in which a pert of liquid crystal molecules around a point are directed to said point and the other part of the liquid crystal molecules around said point are directed point are opposite to point."

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO



Art Unit: 2871

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-2 and 4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Dudek whose telephone number is 308-4782. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William L Sikes can be reached on 703-308-4842. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7721 for regular communications and 703-308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Annes A. Dudek Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871

JAD October 7, 2002